



Windows

measurements of air flow capacity

Heiselberg, Per; Svidt, Kjeld; Nielsen, Peter V.

Publication date:
2000

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication from Aalborg University](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Heiselberg, P., Svidt, K., & Nielsen, P. V. (2000). *Windows: measurements of air flow capacity*. Dept. of Building Technology and Structural Engineering, Aalborg University. Indoor Environmental Engineering Vol. R0040 No. 115

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Windows

Windows - Measurements of Air Flow Capacity

P. Heiselberg, K. Svidt, P.V. Nielsen

Paper No 115

Indoor Environmental Engineering

In: Air Distribution in Rooms - Ventilation for Health and Sustainable Environment. Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Air Distribution in Rooms, Reading, UK, July 9-12, 2000, Vol. II, pp. 749-754

The *Indoor Environmental Engineering* papers are issued for early dissemination of research results from the Indoor Environmental Engineering Group at the Department of Building Technology and Structural Engineering, Aalborg University. These papers are generally submitted to scientific meetings, conferences or journals and should therefore not be widely distributed. Whenever possible, reference should be given to the final publications (proceedings, journals, etc.) and not to the Indoor Environmental Engineering papers.

Windows - Measurements of Air Flow Capacity

P. Heiselberg, K. Svidt, P.V. Nielsen

WINDOWS

MEASUREMENTS OF AIR FLOW CAPACITY

P. Heiselberg, K. Svidt and P.V. Nielsen

Department of Building Technology and Structural Engineering,
Aalborg University, 9000 Aalborg, Denmark

ABSTRACT

In natural ventilation systems fresh air is often provided through opening of windows. However, the knowledge of the performance of windows is rather limited. Computation of natural ventilation air flow through windows is most commonly made using discharge coefficients, that are regarded as being constant.

The reported results show that the discharge coefficient for a window opening cannot be regarded as a constant and that it varies considerably with the size of the opening area, the window type and the temperature difference. Therefore, the use of a constant value can lead to serious errors in the prediction of air flow capacity.

KEYWORDS

Natural ventilation, window, discharge coefficient, laboratory measurements.

INTRODUCTION

In natural ventilation systems fresh air is often provided through opening of windows. There is a wide range of possibilities with regard to selection of window type, size and location. However, the knowledge of the performance of individual windows is rather limited and is based on theoretical assumptions of the main driving forces, effective areas and air flow within rooms. Therefore, it is only possible in the window design for natural ventilation to give rough estimates of the air flow rate and thermal comfort that can be expected. Some window types are regarded as better than others, but this is mainly based on qualitative measures and the differences and limitations in the application of individual window types cannot be quantified.

Computation of natural ventilation air flow through windows is most commonly made using discharge coefficients, that quantify the air flow efficiency of an opening or alternatively the air flow resistance of openings. Many of the discharge coefficient values used are derived from data traditionally used for fluid flow in pipes. Entry conditions such as incidence of openings to the approaching wind, presence of insect screens, adjacent wing walls, overhangs or inclined window sashes can significantly influence the discharge due to momentum effects at windward openings. Also downstream of an opening surfaces parallel to the flow and close to the edge of the opening can influence the jet issuing from an opening but are rarely accounted for although they can have significant influence on discharge. A discharge coefficient value of 0.6 for a sharp-edged rectangular opening is often used for window or door openings. The value is regarded as constant and

independent of the above influences as well as window opening angle (opening area) and pressure and temperature differences across the opening.

Much more work needs to be done regarding these influences to provide reliable design data for computation of natural ventilation through window openings that can improve the window design methods to a level where they can match the design methods of air inlets in mechanical ventilation. This paper describes the results of a series of laboratory measurements that is performed to estimate the discharge coefficient for two different window types as a function of opening angle and pressure and temperature difference. Information about air flow from window openings in the room and estimation of thermal comfort parameters can be found in Heiselberg (1999), Nielsen (2000) and Svidt (2000).

AIR FLOW THROUGH WINDOWS

The air flow through a window depends on the chosen natural ventilation strategy, see Figure 1. Single-sided ventilation relies on openings being on only one side of the ventilated enclosure. With a single opening in the room the main driving force for natural ventilation in winter is the thermal stack effect where the air will flow into the room in the bottom half of the window and out of the room in top half of the window. The stack induced flow increases with the vertical separation of the openings. The main driving force in summer will be the wind turbulence. In cross and stack ventilation there are ventilation openings on both sides of a space. The air flows from one side of the building to the other and leaves through another window or door. Cross ventilation is usually wind driven while stack ventilation is thermal (and wind) driven. With such ventilation strategies there will only be an inflow of air through the window (or outflow) and the pressure difference will be much higher. The capacity of the opening will not depend on the distribution of the opening area but only on the total area.




Figure 1. Air flow through a window with single-sided and cross ventilation strategy.

This paper focuses on the situation with cross ventilation and unidirectional flow through the window. The air flow through a window opening can be determined by:

$$Q = A_c v_c \quad (1)$$

where A_c is the minimum cross section area of the flow through the opening and v_c is the air velocity of the flow through this area.

The two quantities can be related to known ones by:

$$A_c = C_c A \quad (2)$$

$$v_c = C_v v_{theo} \quad (3)$$

where C_c is a contraction coefficient, A the opening area, C_v is a velocity coefficient depending on friction in the opening and v_{theo} is the theoretically obtainable velocity when no friction is taken into consideration. v_{theo} can be determined by:

$$v_{theo} = \sqrt{\frac{2\Delta p}{\rho}} \quad (4)$$

where Δp is the pressure difference across the opening and ρ is the density of air.

Equation (1) can be rewritten as:

$$Q = C_d A \sqrt{\frac{2\Delta P}{\rho}} \quad (5)$$

where C_d is the discharge coefficient defined as the product of the contraction (C_c) and the velocity (C_v) coefficient.

The discharge coefficient is a characteristic parameter for a specific window and takes both the contraction and the friction loss in the window opening into account. The size of the coefficient is only known for very simple opening types. For windows, which have a complicated geometrical structure, the size of the coefficient is unknown and its dependence on parameters as for example opening area, velocity level (pressure difference) and temperature difference is not known either. Andersen (1996) contains a more thorough discussion of inlet and outlet coefficients.

LABORATORY SET-UP

The investigations are performed in a laboratory test room with the size of Length \times Width \times Height = 8 m \times 6 m \times 3 m, see Figure 2. The room is divided into two separate rooms by an insulated wall. The small room can be cooled down to a temperature of about 0°C, while the large room can be kept at normal room temperature. Two different window types have been placed in the insulated wall, see Figure 3a. Window type 1 is a combined side/bottom hung window that is placed close to the occupied zone. Window type 2 is a narrow bottom hung window that is placed high in the room.






Figure 2. Sketch of laboratory test room.

A



B



C



Figure 3. A) Sketch of window location in insulated wall. B) Photo of window type 1, C) Photo of window type 2.

MEASUREMENT RESULTS

The discharge coefficient is calculated from Eqn. (5), which is based on measurements of the geometrical opening area, volume flow rate and pressure difference across the opening. The estimation of the geometrical opening area of the window is very difficult because of the complicated geometry of the frames and especially at small opening angles the uncertainty is high. Air is supplied to the cold room and extracted from the warm room. The volume flow rate is measured in the supply air channel as well as the pressure in each room. The volume flow rate through the window is found as the measured supply air flow rate but corrected according to the measured exfiltration of the cold room. The exfiltration was about 2-15% of the volume flow rate through the window with the highest values for small opening areas. The absolute value of the discharge coefficient is therefore uncertain especially at small opening angles and measured values above 1 can be caused by incorrect estimation of the opening area and exfiltration.




Figure 4. Discharge coefficient, C_d , for window types 1 and 2 as a function of pressure difference for different opening areas.

Figure 4 shows the discharge coefficient as a function of the pressure difference across the opening for different opening areas for both window types. It can be seen that the discharge coefficient is not a constant but dependent on both window type and opening area. It can also be seen, especially in the case of window type 1, that the value of the discharge coefficient varies at small pressure differences across the opening while it becomes constant at large pressure differences. This indicates a Reynolds number dependency that might be important to take into consideration as natural ventilation systems often operate at very small pressure differences.

Figure 5 shows the discharge coefficient for window type 1 as a function of a reduced Archimedes number ($\Delta T/Q^2$). It can be seen that in a situation with both a temperature and a pressure difference across the opening the discharge coefficient can be described as a

function of the Archimedes number and the opening area, and that the value is considerably reduced at large temperature differences.




Figure 5. Discharge coefficient, C_d , for window type 1 (side hung) as a function of a reduced Archimedes number ($\Delta T/Q^2$).

CONCLUSIONS

The results show that the discharge coefficient for a window opening cannot be regarded as a constant as it varies considerably with the size of the opening area, window type and temperature difference. The use of a constant value can lead to serious errors in the prediction of air flow capacity.

The results have been promising. The work will continue to improve the measurement method and to collect more data to be able to develop methods that include the variation of the discharge coefficient in natural ventilation design.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work is a part of the co-operative work within IEA-ECB&CS Annex 35, Hybrid Ventilation in New and Retrofitted Office Buildings, and has been supported by the Danish Energy Agency (EnergiForskningsProgram, EFP).

REFERENCES

- Andersen K. T. (1996). Inlet and Outlet Coefficients – A Theoretical Analysis. *Proceedings of ROOMVENT'96*, Vol 1, 379-390.
- Heiselberg P., Dam H., Sørensen L.C., Nielsen P.V. and Svidt K. (1999) Characteristics of Air Flow through Windows. Presented at the first International One Day Forum on Natural and Hybrid Ventilation, HybVent Forum '99, 09/1999, Sydney, Australia. Available at <http://hybvent.civil.auc.dk>.
- Nielsen P.V., Dam H., Sørensen L.C., Svidt K. and Heiselberg P. (2000). Characteristics of Buoyant Flow from Open Windows in Naturally Ventilated Rooms. *Proceedings of ROOMVENT 2000*, July 2000, Reading, UK.

Svidt K., Heiselberg P., Nielsen P.V. (2000). Characterisation of the Air Flow from a Bottom Hung Window for Natural Ventilation. *Proceedings of ROOOMVENT 2000*, July 2000, Reading, UK.

RECENT PAPERS ON INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING

PAPER NO. 109: E. Bjørn: *Simulation of Human Respiration with Breathing Thermal Manikin*. ISSN 1395-7953 R9944.

PAPER NO. 110: P. Heiselberg: *Hybrid Ventilation and the Consequences on the Development of the Facade*. ISSN 1395-7953 R0033.

PAPER NO. 111: P. Heiselberg, M. Pedersen, T. Plath: *Local Exhaust Optimization and Worker Exposure*. ISSN 1395-7953 R0034.

PAPER NO. 112: K. Svidt, P. Heiselberg: *Push-Pull Ventilation in a Painting Shop for Large Steel Constructions*. ISSN 1395-7953 R0035.

PAPER NO. 113: P. Heiselberg: *Design Principles for Natural and Hybrid Ventilation*. ISSN 1395-7953 R0036

PAPER NO. 114: A. Andersen, M. Bjerre, Z.D. Chen, P. Heiselberg, Y. Li: *Experimental Study of Wind-Opposed Buoyancy-Driven Natural Ventilation*. ISSN 1395-7953 R0037

PAPER NO. 115: P. Heiselberg, K. Svidt, P.V. Nielsen: *Windows - Measurements of Air Flow Capacity*. ISSN 1395-7953 R0040

PAPER NO. 116: K. Svidt, P. Heiselberg, P.V. Nielsen: *Characterization of the Airflow from a Bottom Hung Window under Natural Ventilation*. ISSN 1395-7953 R0041

PAPER NO. 117: Z. Yue, P.V. Nielsen: *Flow Pattern in Ventilated Rooms with Large Depth and Width*. ISSN 1395-7953 R0042.

PAPER NO. 118: P.V. Nielsen, H. Dam, L.C. Sørensen, K. Svidt, P. Heiselberg: *Characteristics of Buoyant Flow from Open windows in Naturally Ventilated Rooms*. ISSN 1395-7953 R0043.

PAPER NO. 119: P.V. Nielsen, C. Filholm, C. Topp, L. Davidson: *Model Experiments with Low Reynolds Number Effects in a Ventilated Room*. ISSN 1395-7953 R0044.

PAPER NO. 120: P. Lengweiler, P.V. Nielsen, A. Moser, P. Heiselberg, H. Takai: *Experimental Method for Measuring Dust Load on Surfaces in Rooms*. ISSN 1395-7953 R0045.

PAPER NO. 121: L. Davidson, P.V. Nielsen, C. Topp: *Low-Reynolds Number Effects in Ventilated Rooms: A Numerical Study*. ISSN 1395-7953 R0046.

PAPER NO. 122: F. Haghighat, H. Brohus, C. Frier, P. Heiselberg: *Stochastic Prediction of Ventilation System Performance*. ISSN 1395-7953 R0047.

PAPER NO. 123: H. Brohus, F. Haghighat, C. Frier, P. Heiselberg: *Quantification of Uncertainty in Thermal Building Simulation. Part 1: Stochastic Building Model*. ISSN 1395-7953 R0048.

PAPER NO. 124: H. Brohus, F. Haghighat, C. Frier, P. Heiselberg: *Quantification of Uncertainty in Thermal Building Simulation. Part 2: Stochastic Loads*. ISSN 1395-7953 R0049.

Complete list of papers: <http://iee.civil.auc.dk/i6/publ/iee.html>

Winds

ISSN 1395-7953 R0040

Dept. of Building Technology and Structural Engineering

Aalborg University, December 2000

Sohngaardsholmsvej 57, DK-9000 Aalborg, Denmark

Phone: +45 9635 8080 Fax: +45 9814 8243

<http://iee.civil.auc.dk>